



Surrey Heath Borough Council
Surrey Heath House
Knoll Road
Camberley
Surrey GU15 3HD
Telephone: (01276) 707100
Facsimile: (01276) 707177
DX: 32722 Camberley
Web Site: www.surreyheath.gov.uk

Department: Democratic Services
Division: Legal & Democratic Services
Please ask for: Rachel Whillis
Direct Tel: 01276 707160
E-Mail: democratic.services@surreyheath.gov.uk

Friday, 26 August 2022

To: The Members of the **External Partnerships Select Committee**
(Councillors: Vivienne Chapman (Chairman), Morgan Rise (Vice Chairman),
Dan Adams, Richard Brooks, Paul Deach, Tim FitzGerald, Mark Gordon,
Josephine Hawkins, David Lewis, Emma-Jane McGrath, Liz Noble, Pat Tedder and
Valerie White)

**In accordance with the Substitute Protocol at Part 4 of the Constitution,
Members who are unable to attend this meeting should give their apologies and
arrange for one of the appointed substitutes, as listed below, to attend.
Members should also inform their group leader of the arrangements made.**

Substitutes: Councillors Graham Alleway, Rodney Bates, Cliff Betton, Stuart Black,
Edward Hawkins, Charlotte Morley, Darryl Ratiram and Graham Tapper

Dear Councillor,

A meeting of the **External Partnerships Select Committee** will be held at Council
Chamber, Surrey Heath House, Knoll Road, Camberley, GU15 3HD on **Tuesday, 6
September 2022 at 7.00 pm**. The agenda will be set out as below.

Please note that this meeting will be recorded.

Yours sincerely

Damian Roberts

Chief Executive

AGENDA

	Pages
1 Apologies for Absence	
2 Chairman's Announcements and Welcome to Guests	
3 Minutes	3 - 10

To confirm and sign the minutes of the meeting of the External
Partnerships Select Committee held on 7 June 2022.

Minutes of a Meeting of the External Partnerships Select Committee held at Council Chamber, Surrey Heath House, Knoll Road, Camberley, GU15 3HD on 7 June 2022

- + Cllr Vivienne Chapman (Chairman)
- + Cllr Morgan Rise (Vice Chairman)

- | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| + Cllr Dan Adams | - Cllr David Lewis |
| + Cllr Richard Brooks | + Cllr Emma-Jane McGrath |
| + Cllr Paul Deach | - Cllr Liz Noble |
| Cllr Tim FitzGerald | + Cllr Pat Tedder |
| + Cllr Mark Gordon | + Cllr Valerie White |
| + Cllr Josephine Hawkins | |

+ Present

- Apologies for absence presented

Substitutes: Cllr Edward Hawkins (In place of Cllr David Lewis) Cllr Graham Tapper

Members in Attendance: Cllr Rodney Bates, Cllr Sarah Jane Croke, Cllr Sashi Mylvaganam and Cllr Helen Whitcroft

Officers Present: Jayne Boitout and Louise Livingston

1/EP Minutes of the Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 1 March 2022 were confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

2/EP Accent Housing

The Committee received a presentation from Julie Wittich, Executive Director of Assets & Sustainability, Accent Housing in respect of recent management changes and the appointment of a new main repairs and maintenance contractor.

Since its last presentation to the Committee in February 2022, there had been a restructure of the Accent Leadership Team, including the appointment of a new interim director, Shaun Finnegan, for the South region. The recruitment process for the vacant post was underway and was being led by Claire Stone.

Ian Williams had been appointed as the South Region's new main repairs and maintenance contractor from 30 May 2022 and immediately started picking up the circa 1500 outstanding maintenance orders which the previous contractor Axis Europe had left. The most urgent repairs were being prioritised and analysis of the outstanding cases was being undertaken by a line by line exercise. Despite some jobs such as plastering and groundworks were being allocated to sub-contractors, it was still anticipated that the maintenance backlog left by Axis Europe would still

take 6 months in order to clear. In addition, some jobs such as fencing was anticipated to take a particularly long time.

Ian Williams were keen to do joint engagement events across the region in the summer in coordination with Accent and the Council. Furthermore, joint branding was starting to be rolled out in areas such as maintenance vans in order to promote synergy between Ian Williams and Accent.

Arising from Members' questions and comments the following points were noted:

- Accent Housing was struggling to recruit in respect of some technical maintenance roles. This was part of a wider national issue and Accent were looking to use market supplements in order to recruit the right staff which would be able to successfully tackle an increasing amount of mould and damp cases.
- On 1 October 2022 Accent would be launching a technical contact centre to receive new maintenance calls from its customers. Advisors would have duty surveyors on-call to provide live advice as well as a diagnostic tool. The change to a more specialised contact centre worked off the premise that contact centre advisors would develop a more specialised knowledge after taking solely maintenance related calls. On their recruitment, advisors would also undergo an intensive 4 week training programme before contact with customers.
- Ian Williams had been appointed at the end of the tender process, after it had demonstrated an excellent track record in the Accent North West and East regions and that they shared similar values to Accent. Ian Williams specialised in serving housing associations and had the ethos of investing in their staff and were also keen on direct delivery (rather than use of subcontractors).
- The Customer Relationship Management System would allow contact centre advisors to review system notes, and case history whilst engaging with customers.
- In previous years Accent had a policy of ripping out and disposing of any previously-used carpets, when new residents moved into a property. The pulling up of carpet was no longer an automatic part of changeover processes, but it was noted that it was found that carpets were rarely left in an acceptable state. Accent employed surveyors who were responsible for recommendation of any work which needed to be undertaken before future inhabitation.
- Where Accent contractors had failed to turn up for a prearranged maintenance appointment, residents who had been forced to take a day off work and were financially worse off as a result, were entitled to claim compensation. However this wasn't routinely offered to all residents who had suffered from a missed maintenance appointment.
- In order to meet the goal of making Accent properties more sustainable, Accent ensured that all its new properties achieved an Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) rating of A. Furthermore, it was noted that Accent was in the process of retrofitting some of its existing properties as part of the Social Housing Decarbonisation Funds scheme.

Following the Committee's discussions it was remarked that although the Committee were grateful for Accent's virtual attendance at the meeting, they would much prefer them to send representatives to attend in person where possible. It was also noted that the Committee were especially keen to see how much progress had been made with the maintenance backlog 6 months into the Ian Williams contract.

Furthermore, Members noted the upcoming all-Member briefing from Accent Housing and acknowledged the recently set up email address to provide a single point of contact for all Surrey Heath Councillors' Accent-related enquiries.

The Committee thanked Julie for her attendance and her presentation.

3/EP Surrey Police

The Committee received a presentation from Inspector Gemma Taylor in respect of the priorities and challenges in respect of Policing within the borough.

The Specialist Neighbourhood Team worked alongside the Neighbourhood Policing Team and was focussed on long term problem solving and community impact. A recent restructure of the team meant that one sergeant now line-managed the Police Community Support Officers (PCSOs) and Neighbourhood Support Officers (NSOs) in a specified geographical area and was responsible for specific thematical priorities. As a result of the restructure, PCSOs and NSOs were now aligned to the same shift pattern which enabled better opportunities to work together with partners to problem solve and show presence in their communities.

Under this structure, Sargeants held a People, Groups and Places meeting every three weeks with their teams. This was where emerging trends and ongoing problem solving topics were discussed. Data was used from various sources and was used to define priorities and to evaluate threat, harm and risk. Each team gave input from this meeting into a briefing document, which was then shared with the Neighbourhood Policing Team so that they were aware of developing areas of work when responding to incidents. Furthermore, regular force and divisional level briefings meant officers and staff received an overview of the current trends in relation to crime in Surrey Heath. Current priorities included areas of burglary, violence against women and girls, anti-social behaviour, anti-social driving/use of motor vehicles and local feuds.

The Joint Neighbourhood Survey for the 21/22 year showed that 84.4% of respondents had confidence in their neighbourhood force, and 61.5% were in agreement that the police were dealing with the crime and ASB issues that mattered in the area. Whereas 40.8% agreed that the neighbourhood police were seen in the places and times when needed. In order to increase the confidence in this latter area further, the Neighbourhood Team had changed their communications in order to post their foot patrols in order to boost confidence.

It was highlighted that compared with the same 1 year period, one year ago, there had been the following changes in total crimes:

- A rise of non-domestic abuse violence by 146 which could be attributed partially by the end to mandated social distancing.
- Increased thefts of a motor vehicle by 21%.
- A 21% drop in residential burglaries, which was the biggest reduction in the Western Division.
- A 1.7% reduction of domestic abuse without injury including a 42% increase in solved cases.

The 21% increase in car thefts could be largely attributed to keyless car thefts and 62 offences had taken place in Surrey between 1 January 2022 and 20 May 2022, including 12 offences in Surrey Heath. Incidences were incredibly hard to predict due to a lack of patterns in respect of time or location. Easily implemented preventative matters to defer keyless car thefts included deactivation of keyless entry systems, use of steering locks and use of faraday bags.

In addition, joint agency and cross border meetings were now being undertaken to solve the continued problem of anti-social car meets along the A331 at Watchmoor Business Park. So far a dispersal order had been put in place to cover the business park and 80 community protection warnings had been issued following the first weekend of implementation. Longer-term an application had been submitted to trial noise cameras along the A331 and funding had been secured for further Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) cameras.

Following, the recent murder of a local individual, it was noted that plans were already being put in place regarding prevention work by Youth Engagement Officers and Anti-Social behaviour specialists. This included contextualisation meetings with Surrey County Council to better understand how groups of young people interacted across force boundaries. The knife amnesty program was also extended for the foreseeable future.

Arising from Members' questions and comments the following points were noted:

- Whilst the Rural Crime Portfolio may naturally fit better with the Six Villages responsibility, there were other considerations which needed to be considered during the creation of the organisational structure of the neighbourhood team such as team dynamics.
- There had been an ongoing issue with various crimes and Anti-Social behaviour which took place at Southcote Park in Parkside Ward. Whilst, it hadn't been a focus of the Specialist Neighbourhood Team, this would be reviewed following the meeting. There had already been discussions between partners in respect of initiatives to deter crime taking place such as better street lighting.
- In addition to the Victims of Crime Survey, The Joint Neighbourhood Survey provided quarterly results around public confidence, local problematic issues, crime prevention and contacting the police. Further information on how the survey was conducted would be circulated to the Committee after the meeting.
- Whilst video doorbell footage was a useful tool to residents' groups and neighbourhood watches, in order to maintain a data-led approach to policing, residents needed to report any instances of crime or suspicious behaviour to the police.

- The Safer Neighbourhood team benefitted from a strong relationship with the Military of Defence (MoD) in respect of Barossa Common. It was felt by Members that a similar beneficial relationship in respect of MoD owned land in Deepcut could be replicated to tackle ASB issues such as the lighting of fires.
- A column for the Borough Commander in Heathscene could be considered for future issues.
- There had been consideration of free provision of faraday bags by Surrey Police in order to reduce keyless car thefts. However, it was noted that most Mercedes Benz and BMW owners would be able to afford to purchase such a bag, and the challenge instead laid with highlighting the risk to residents.
- If information sharing protocols allowed, there was a potential opportunity for Surrey Police to correspond better with Surrey County Councillors in order to facilitate better highway safety.

Members thanked Inspector Taylor for her informative report and time answering questions. The Committee agreed that it would like to see the Borough Commander present again to the Committee again in approximately 6 months' time.

4/EP OPCC Police and Crime Panel – Update from Surrey Heath Panel Member

The Committee received a verbal update from Councillor Valerie White, the Council's appointed member of the Surrey Police and Crime Panel.

The Surrey Police and Crime Panel was underpinned by the governance and accountability arrangements detailed in the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011. The role of the Panel was to examine the actions and decisions of Surrey's Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) and to make sure information was available for the public so that they could hold the Police and Crime Commissioner to account.

The Panel was a committee of Surrey County Council, and consisted of one elected councillor from each of Surrey's twelve local authorities and two co-opted independent members.

The Panel held the PCC to account in a constructive manner by review of her policies and crime plan; and the subsequent making of reports and recommendations. Furthermore the PCC's annual report was specifically scrutinised during a fully-attended public meeting. A confirmation hearing was held where the Panel made a recommendation as to the appointment of a Deputy Police and Crime Commissioner (Deputy PCC).

Following Committee discussion, it was advised that the panel voted to recommend that Ellie Vesey- Thompson was not appointed to the role of Deputy PCC, despite a claim that she would be invaluable given a prediction that the role would amount to spending 75% of her time with young people. The Panel was due to receive a report at the end of the month in respect of how the position had progressed over the past year.

It was also noted that at the time of the setting of the PCC Council Tax precept, whilst the Panel made alternative budgetary recommendations on how the money would be spent to the PCC, these recommendations were not accepted.

The Committee felt that there was room for the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner to better promote the recommendations and work undertaken by the Police and Crime Panel and noted out of date information on its website.

Members thanked Councillor Valerie White for her informative update and endorsed receiving future similar updates in a verbal or written form.

5/EP Bisley Village Hall

The Committee received a presentation from Russell Hayes, Trustee of Bisley Village Hall.

Bisley Village Hall was a former school chapel, which had been donated for community use in 1959 and was then supplemented by the addition of an extension in 1982. The management committee comprised of 3 elected members and up to 7 members representing the organisations which used the hall. The aim of the hall was 'to provide a community facility, in good working order, for use of as wide a range of local groups as possible'.

The hall offered a large and medium sized hall; with a 200 and 60 capacity respectively. A kitchen allowed the hosting of a community café, parties and events. The community café also facilitated an opportunity to keep an eye of the wellbeing of residents, as café users were often elderly and vulnerable. The community café had raised funds for a defibrillator at the hall and organisers also kept in touch with attendees via a phone call every week when the café was closed because of the pandemic.

The hall hosted a range of users, such as exercise classes, craft and music groups, as well as groups such as spring tots, messy church and a new marital arts group.

The Strawberry Fair, which had run since 1993 and had raised a total of £100,000 since its inception, was the hall's major fundraiser. During the years of the pandemic, the hall's income plummeted and income from regular hall users had not yet recovered to 2019/20 levels. During the years of the pandemic ad-hoc hall bookings increased dramatically and grant funding, for example from the Council-run charity enabling fund, had meant the hall was able to break even without sacrificing scheduled major projects.

The 3 major risks to the hall were the fabric of the hall, the loss of skills and enthusiasm of the trustees, and the loss of the users of the hall and the hall's positive relationship with the community. The vulnerability of the latter was highlighted by the loss of 2 major users: LESTA dance school and Bisley pre-school during the pandemic.

Looking to the future, the hall's trustees were prioritising work, such as the replacement of windows at the stage end of the main hall, and the treatment of damp which had been identified by a damp survey earlier in the year. Energy efficiency measures were also being prioritised.

Whilst the village hall held reservations in respect of the long term resilience of its trustees and felt new personnel would provide a new perspective, many village halls would be envious of the hall's trustee base. An absence of trustees for community centres across the borough was widespread. It was agreed by the Committee that a broader editorial piece around village halls and volunteering opportunities and trusteeships could be included in Heathscene.

Members also noted that issues faced by Bisley Village Hall were identical to the issues which were being experienced in the other borough wards; and that engagement with Voluntary Support North Surrey maybe helpful in both recruitment and development of volunteers and trustees.

The Committee thanked Russell for his presentation and his attendance.

6/EP Committee Work Programme

The Committee discussed its work programme for the rest of the municipal year. It was proposed by the Chairman that Voluntary Support North Surrey would be removed from the work programme as they had already presented to the Committee during 2021. Instead it was proposed that Heatherside Community Centre and Harper Asprey Wildlife Rescue should present at the Committee's November meeting.

It was also suggested and agreed that the Basingstoke Canal Authority and the Blackwater Valley Countryside Partnership should present at the Committee where possible during the 2022/23 municipal year, and if not during the start of the 2023/24 municipal year.

RESOLVED that the changes above to the Committee Work Programme be made.

Chairman

This page is intentionally left blank

**Surrey Heath Borough Council
External Partnership Select Committee
6th September 2022**

Presentation from Maureen Hume Camberley Alzheimer's Café

Portfolio Holder:	Cllr Sarah- Jane Croke
Strategic Director/Head of Service	Louise Livingston
Report Author:	Jayne Boitout – Comm Partnership Officer
Wards Affected:	Town, Watchetts, St Michaels, Old Dean, Frimley, St Pauls, Parkside, Heatherside, Frimley Green

1. Summary and purpose

- 1.1 The Camberley Alzheimer's café are represented by Maureen Hume, the Chairman, who will provide an update as to the local priorities and plans of the cafe into the future.

2. Background and Supporting Information

- 2.1 The Camberley Alzheimer Cafe CIO offers 2 services. 1. Monthly Cafe for people with dementia and their carers to socialise and learn about the emotional side of dementia. All is free to the public including refreshments. 2. Educational courses for the public and professionals regarding dementia. Free at delivery, supported by charitable funds Experts deliver the educational input to both.
- 2.2 The Café was set up in 2015 by the Chairman Maureen Hume, and the group have 3 trustees, and 14 volunteers with operations based at St Mary's Church, Park Road Camberley.
- 2.3 The income has reduced significantly from 2020 at £55,560 to £5,900 in 2021, with unrestricted reserves at £9,751 registered at that time, please see attached audit report for further information.
- 2.4 During the past two years with the pandemic, many of the sessions have been carried out via zoom, an example of this is on the 21st February, Kay Freemantle delivered an informative and realistic presentation on understanding anger and fear to 18 people via zoom, this is a great way to keep in contact, but the volunteers also made an astonishing 3520 keeping in touch phone calls from June 2020, until February 2022.
- 2.5 The café is mindful of the increase in covid infections and have tended to operate virtually to keep us all safe.

2.6 In February 2022, the Council through its Charity Enabling Fund contributed £1,000 towards the hall hire, food and music costs to enable the face to face meetings to re-start when safe.

3. Recommendation

3.1 The Committee is asked to consider the presentation in relation to Surrey Heath, and any future steps which Members would wish to recommend to the Executive and/or Council.

Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO
Registered Charity number 1164771
Accounts for the Year Ended 31st July 2021

Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO
Accounts for the year ended 31st July 2021

Statement of Financial Activities

August 2020 to July 2021

Incoming Resources

	Un- restricted	Restricted - Healthy Hearts	Total	<i>Prior Year</i>
	£	£	£	£
Members Allocation	0	0	0	1000
Donations	1660	0	1660	3163
Johnson, Churchill, Lions	0	0	0	91
Waitrose donations	0	0	0	488
Coop donations	1577	0	1577	2435
Course fees	0	0	0	12063
Lottery Funding	0	0	0	0
MWP / HH	1850	0	1850	17000
Total Incoming Resources	5087	0	5087	36239

Resources Expended

Direct Charitable Activities	2,942	0	2942	42784
Indirect Charitable Activities	2,633	50	2683	3275
Total Resources Expended	5,575	50	5,625	46058

Net Incoming Resources	-488	-50	-538	-9819
-------------------------------	-------------	------------	-------------	--------------

Net Movement in Funds	-488	-50	-538	-9819
------------------------------	-------------	------------	-------------	--------------

Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO
Accounts for the year ended 31st July 2021

Statement of Assets and Liabilities at 31st July 2021

Incoming Resources

	Un- restricted	Restricted - Healthy Hearts	Total	<i>Prior Year</i>
	£	£	£	£
Assets				
Equipment (see note)	125	0	125	250
Debtors & prepayments	0	0	0	0
Cash at bank and in hand	9626	0	9626	10039
	9751	0	9751	10289
Liabilities				
Creditors & Accruals	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0
Net Assets	9,751	0	9,751	10289
Reserves				
Balance brought forward	10289	0	10289	20108
Surplus/(Deficit) in period	-488	-50	-538	-9819
Balance Carried Forward	9801	-50	9751	10289

Approved by Trustees on:

And signed on its behalf by:

Position

Position

Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO

Accounts for the year ended 31st July 2021

Notes to the Accounts

1 Accounting Policies

The accounts have been prepared under the historical cost convention on an accruals basis and in accordance with the Charities Act 2011.

Depreciation has been provided at the following rates in order to write off the assets over their estimated life:

Equipment 16.67% per annum straight line

2 Analysis of resources expended:

	Un- restricted	Restricted - Healthy Hearts	Total	Prior year
	£	£	£	£
Direct Charitable activities				
Advertising/Literature	0.00	0.00	0.00	90
Courses	18.94	0.00	18.94	13963
Man With a Pan & Healthy Heart Courses	2000.00	0.00	2000.00	17989
Cooking Course Reunion	0.00	0.00	0.00	600
Telephone support	500.00	0.00	500.00	0
Café Expenses	35.00	0.00	35.00	6942
Florist	388.03	0.00	388.03	0
Hope Hub Donation	0.00	0.00	0.00	3200
	2941.97	0.00	2941.97	42784
Indirect Charitable activities				
Admin, Evaluation & Printing	115.46	0.00	115.46	1054
Resources, training, bank fees, Adobe	472.52	50.00	522.52	727
Rent, auditor, donations & insurance	1920.00	0.00	1920.00	1369
Depreciation	125.00	0.00	125.00	125
	2632.98	50.00	2682.98	3275
Debtors/Prepayments	0.00	0.00	0.00	0

Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO
Accounts for the year ended 31st July 2021

3 Fixed Assets

Equipment	Un- restricted	Restricted - Healthy Hearts	Total
	£		£
Cost - brought forward	749	0	749
Disposals	0	0	0
Additions	0	0	0
Cost - carried forward	749	0	749
Depreciation - brought forward	499	0	499
Eliminated on disposal	0	0	0
Charge for year	125	0	125
Depreciation - carried forward	624	0	624
<i>Net Book Value 31.07.21</i>	125	0	125
<i>Net Book Value 31.07.20</i>	250	0	250
<i>Net Book Value 31.07.19</i>	375	0	375
<i>Net Book Value 31.07.18</i>	500	0	500

Independent Examiners Report to the Trustees of Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO

(Registered Charity no. 1164771)

This report is on the accounts of The Camberley Alzheimer Café CIO for the year ended 31st July 2021 set out on the attached pages in respect of an examination carried out under section 145 of the Charities Act 2011 (“the Act”).

Respective responsibilities of the Trustees and the examiner

The charity’s trustees consider that an audit is not required for this year under section 144 (2) of the Act and that an independent examination is needed.

It is our responsibility to:

- Examine the accounts under section 145 of the Act;
- Follow the procedures laid down in the General Directions given by the Charity Commissioners under section 145 (5) (b) of the Act; and
- State whether particular matters have come to our attention.

Basis of independent examiner’s statement

Our examination was carried out in accordance with the General Directions given by the Charity Commissioners. An examination includes a review of the accounting records kept by the charity and a comparison of the accounts presented with those records. It also includes consideration of any unusual items or disclosures in the accounts and seeking explanations from you as trustees concerning any such matters. The procedures undertaken do not provide all the evidence that would be required in an audit, and consequently we do not express an audit opinion on the view given by the accounts.

Independent examiners statement

In connection with our examination, no matter has come to our attention:

1. Which gives us reasonable cause to believe that in any material respect the requirements
 - To keep accounting records in accordance with section 130 of the Act; and
 - To prepare accounts which accord with the accounting records and to comply with the accounting requirements of the Act

Have not been met or:

2. To which, in our opinion, attention should be drawn in order to enable a proper understanding of the accounts to be reached.



Natalie Saunders MAAT
27 Brook Road
Camberley
Surrey
GU15 3AP

Date: 25/10/2021

Surrey Heath Borough Council
External Partnerships Select Committee
6 September 2022

Committee Work Programme

Strategic Director/Head of Service: Louise Livingston - Head of Human Resources, Performance & Communications
Report Author: Jayne Boitout - Community Development Officer

Purpose

To consider the Committee Work Programme for the 2022/23 municipal year

Recommendation

The Committee is asked to consider its work programme for the 2022/23 municipal year and agree any amendments.

1. Background and Supporting Information

- 1.1 Part 4 of the Constitution requires the Committee to agree a work programme for each municipal year.
- 1.2 The Committee Work Programme may develop through the forthcoming municipal year, to meet new demands and changing circumstances. The Committee will be expected to review its work programme from time to time and to amend as required.

2. Work Programme

- 2.1 The Committee is scheduled to meet on the following dates for the remainder of the 2022/23 municipal year:
 - 29 November 2022
 - 28 February 2023
- 2.2 The Committee is responsible for scrutiny of other agencies which affect the economic, social and environmental well-being of the Council's area, including the Health and Wellbeing Board and the Police and Crime Panel. It also carries out the Council's statutory crime and disorder function and will receive regular updates on community safety in Surrey Heath from the Borough Commander.
- 2.3 The work programme for 2022/23 is set out below.

Meeting Date	External Partner
6 September 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accent Housing • Frimley CCG • Camberley Alzheimer Café • Surrey Search and Rescue
29 November 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accent Housing • SH Tree Wardens • Harper Asprey Wildlife Rescue
28 February 2023	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accent Housing • Citizens Advice Surrey Heath • Surrey Police • Heatherside Community Centre

For future consideration:

- Basingstoke Canal Authority (June 2023)
- Blackwater Valley Countryside Partnership (June 2023)
- Bisley and West End Foodbank

3. Proposal

3.1 Members are asked to agree the Committee's outline work programme for 2022/23.

4. Resource Implications

4.1 Resource implications will depend on the issues brought before the Committee. The implications both in terms of prior to/during the meeting and any resultant work will have to be assessed when individual meetings are planned and the Committee decisions are known.